

Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

## Welcome to Seventh Way Tool

A unique tool to assist you with the right project management approach.



All Rights Reserved for Seven Consulting www.sevenconsulting.com

## **Seven Consulting - Services**



#### **1. Program Delivery**



We deliver some of Australia's most complex and challenging agile, traditional and hybrid programs. We work with our clients to understand their organisational and program characteristics. These inform how we design our delivery approach to produce the best outcome for our clients. The majority of our Consultants are scaled agile (SAFe) certified and we have over \$3bn of Agile and traditional Programs under direct management.

#### 2. PMO Services



We provide PMO establishment and management, PMO analyst and scheduling services, and tools for some of the largest organisations in Australia.

#### **3. Delivery Consulting**



We provide delivery capability uplift, sponsor and project manager training, portfolio and program reviews to assist our clients improve their program delivery.

#### All of our clients are reference sites

## **Our Clients**



Seven Consulting has a proven track record delivering critical outcomes for Australian organisations across industries and domains. 100% of our clients are reference sites.



Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

## Our clients and staff are our top priority



#### **Client Satisfaction Survey Results**

| Year | Satisfaction rating | Survey questions |  |  |
|------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 2020 | 98.87%              | 14,455           |  |  |
| 2019 | 99.08%              | 14,949           |  |  |
| 2018 | 98.65%              | 15,450           |  |  |
| 2017 | 99.08%              | 9,691            |  |  |
| 2016 | 98.94%              | 10,336           |  |  |
| 2015 | 99.35%              | 5,655            |  |  |
| 2014 | 99.26%              | 3,520            |  |  |
|      |                     |                  |  |  |

100% of our clients are reference sites

### **MABC**

"It is clear that you only recruit PM's that are the best in the business"



"Seven have gone above and beyond to make this implementation a success. With short notice their consultants jumped in and made a significant impact."

#### Woolworths 🍈

"No fuss, just good, honest and consistent project delivery"



"Very professional" "Great consulting skills"

#### 🕻 alintaenergy

"Highly professional staff, great support from the broader organisation. Clear about what they are good at and stick to this"

#### **Staff Satisfaction Survey Results**

| Survey Date | Satisfaction rating |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Dec'20      | 97.90%              |
| Jun'20      | 98.51%              |
| Dec'19      | 98.30%              |
| Jun '19     | 98.82%              |
| Dec '18     | 96.44%              |
| Jun '18     | 96.40%              |



## How do we get 98%+ client satisfaction?



#### Why all our clients are reference sites.



## How our values impact our delivery?





#### Teamwork

Teamwork has to be at the core because you can't deliver big projects without great teamwork – we focus on ensuring that the Seven team, the client team and vendors work seamlessly together.



#### Transparency

Assumptions and poor communications kill projects, whereas openness is the foundation of good relationships and reliable delivery. We remain a completely independent consultancy.



#### Delivery

A focus on outcomes focuses the team and grows confidence. With a confident attitude, expert personnel and effective teams we always deliver to clients high expectations.

## **Our Agile journey**



- In 2002, Seven Consulting undertook 0 Agile projects.
- By 2010, that number had increased to 10% to 20% of our portfolio.
- Now over 40% of our projects are Agile-based.
- This aligns with the market growth of Agile adoption in Australia IT projects.
- Through these engagements, Seven Consulting has found strengths in both methods.
- We manage about \$1b of Agile program annually and have delivered some of the largest and most successful Agile programs in Australia.
- Over 2/3 of our staff is scaled agile (SAFe) qualified.
- We have two qualified SAFe trainers internally.
- However we still believe that not all programs should be delivered using Agile methodology.
- This tool helps ascertain quickly the correct delivery approach on the spectrum of Traditional to Agile.
- Use the best tool for the job!



- Over the last three years, we have developed a tool that, helps us within 30 minutes to create the following for any project:
  - Agree the optimized project delivery approach, Agile, Traditional (waterfall) or Blended.
  - Where the recommended approach is a blended approach, detail the customised blend.
  - Understand the residual risks and mitigations for that approach.
  - Specify the deliverables required for delivery many of which are agnostic to the Agile or Traditional approach.
  - Detail the deliverables by phase and stream.
  - Provide templates for each of the deliverables.
  - Produce a detailed schedule including mapped dependencies.



There is no single best way to deliver a program.

From our experience of delivering billions of dollars of programs each year for some of Australia's largest organisations, we believe that different approaches are required to deliver different projects.

- This is for two sets of reasons, firstly the projects themselves are all different. Compliance and innovation projects have different characteristics.
- Secondly, organisations (technically, capability-wise and culturally) are also different.

We believe that these two sets of characteristics that influence how the programs should be delivered.

## What does work?



- Assessing the organizational and project characteristics to work out the best way to deliver is the work of skilled and experienced Program Managers.
- While we don't believe in one approach, we do have a bias towards agile where sensible.
- We have spent two years codifying our collective 1000's of years of experience to allow this analysis to be done within 30 minutes.
- In addition, this Seventh Way Tool will provide an overview of the delivery streams, phases and dependencies.
- Finally, the tool links the deliverables to templates and supporting documents, as well as creating a starting schedule based on the delivery approach.
- This tool is of best value for each of the following to assess or build delivery approach per project.
  - Portfolio Managers
  - ePMO or ITPMO Managers
  - Risk and Assurance Stakeholders and Program Reviewers
  - Project Managers stepping into larger or different roles
- We use this tool across our whole technology change portfolio with over 25 client organisations.




## **Example Agile v Traditional Project and Organisational Characteristics**

COPYRIGHT - SEVEN CONSULTING 2021 - ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

TEAMWORK • TRANSPARENCY • DELIVERY





## Importance of speed to market versus quality



| Seventh Way - Methodology Selector<br>Step 2: Complete this questionnaire to | find the methodology which best suits your project.                    | n əmiun   | CONSULTING Australia's Best<br>Program Delivery<br>Company |
|--|--|---|--|
| Organisation: Department of Hum  | nan Services Project Name:   | Project 7   |  |
| 8 Speed to market or speed to value are crit                                 | lical  |   |  |
| Strongly Agree   |  |   |  |
| ⊖ Agree  | Category: Project Benefits   |   |  |
| ○ Neutral  | to market may be critical t  | ted to development of new products or services<br>to the success of the initiative. Direct collaboratic<br>n greater speed and alignment of delivered featu | on with customers and end users combined                   |
| ○ Disagree   | increments.<br>Traditional methods may<br>required before the solution | be better suited where timelines are not as critic<br>on is able to be used.  | al and where a significant volume of features is           |
| ○ Strongly Disagree  |  |   |  |
| Back   | Next   |   |  |
| Overall Progress: Entry Form Methodology Sel                                 | ector Defined Methodology Component Selector Final Dashboard           | d Progress of this Section:   | 8 of 28  |
| Restart All  | All Rights Reserved for<br>www.sevencon                                |   | User Guide 📗 👰   |

## Ability to deliver in short cycles.





#### Agile

- Can deliver partial business benefits quicker.
- Get feedback from customers quickly.
- Refine and improve outputs for later cycles.
- How a child learns to walk.

#### **Traditional**

 Best suited to majority of functionality being released in one go; e.g. a payroll program, GST introduction, currency change.



|                           | Methodology Selector<br>Complete this questionnaire to find the methodology whi<br>Department of Human Services | Welcome John<br>ch best suits your project.<br>Project Name:                              | Project 7  |   | ustralia's Best<br>rogram Delivery<br>Company |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| 10 The tested             | deliverables can be implemented in short cycles   |   |  |   |   |
| Strongly Agr              | ee  |   |  |   |   |
| <ul> <li>Agree</li> </ul> |   | Category: Delivery Approac  |  |   |   |
| O Neutral                 |   | delivery cycles and can resu  | r working solution features in short cycles. This<br>t in earlier business benefits. Key to this approa<br>luct (MVP) which can then be expanded and enf   | ch is the ability to dissect the total solution   |   |
| O Disagree                |   | Traditional methods are be<br>This means that small sets (<br>to enable business value is | ter suited to solutions where there are a large nu<br>f feature cannot be delivered independently and<br>ignificant. An example may be a data centre relo<br>at impact the initial implementation. | umber of features needed to satisfy MVP.<br>d the critical mass of functionality required |   |
| O Strongly Disa           | agree   |   |  |   |   |
|                           | Back Next   |   |  |   |   |
| Overall Progress:         | Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology C   |   | Progress of this Section:  | 10  | of 28   |
| Restart All               |   | All Rights Reserved for s<br>www.sevencons  | 0  | Use   | er Guide 🗾 👰                                  |



### Agile

- A product owner is essential to work with the team and be available to provide quick decisions.
- On large programs, you may need multiple product owners covering different areas with one overall decision maker.
- On small projects, a part time product owner that is available daily would likely suffice.

#### **Traditional**

- Reviews can be done in larger blocks although the time this takes clearly creates time delays versus agile.
- Can suffer from management by committee.
- There may be some advantages in seeing the end-to-end requirements, design etc.



| Seventh Way - Met<br>Step 2: Comp                              | hodology Selector                                 | welcome John<br>y which best suits your project.   | smith   |  | Australia's Best<br>Program Delivery<br>Company |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Organisation:  | Department of Human Services                      | Project Name:                                      | Project 7   |  |   |
| <ul> <li>13 A knowledgeable</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> | and empowered Product Owner (Member of            | agile team responsible for definin                 | g stories and prioritizing the team b   | acklogs) exists and is assigned to the project   |   |
| 0  |   |  |   |  |   |
| ⊖ Agree  |   | Category: Flow of Knowledg                         | e   |  |   |
| O Neutral  |   |  | owledgeable in the project vision and pr  | roduct Owner be assigned to the project. The<br>oduct domain and be empowered to take decisions  |   |
| O Disagree   |   |  |   | iew and approval and steering committees for<br>e demands of agile for rapid decision making and |   |
| ○ Strongly Disagree  |   |  |   |  |   |
|  | Back Next   |  |   |  |   |
| Overall Progress:  | ntry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodolog | y Component Selector Final Dashboard               | Progress of this Section:   | 13   | ) of 28   |
| Restart All  |   | All Rights Reserved for S<br><u>www.sevenconst</u> | ана стана | U  | ser Guide 💵                                     |



#### Agile

- Hard to move to continuous development, testing and release if the supporting elements are not in place for this.
- Many companies need time to put these in place before they can run true agile programs.
- The need for proper environment and tooling is especially true at scale and for non digital and highly integrated systems.

#### **Traditional**

 Simpler for traditional projects as they have more time in parallel with requirements, design and build, to put these items in place where they don't exist (to the extent they need them and they will need less.)



| Seventh Way - Methodology Selector<br>Step 2: Complete this questionnaire to find the methodology<br>Organisation: Department of Human Services | Welcome John Smith which best suits your project. Project Name: Project 7   | SEVEN Australia's Best<br>Program Delivery<br>CONSULTING Company |
|---|---|--|
| 22 The development tools necessary for continuous software integ  |   |  |
| O Strongly Agree  |   |  |
| O Agree   | Category: Presence of Enablers  |  |
| Neutral   | Guidance:<br>Agile methods utilise short duration sprints to deliver working outputs (software) for impleme<br>Productivity is paramount and is best enabled using a continuous integration approach. This  |  |
| O Disagree  | tools and development practices which must be fully available early in the project.<br>Traditional methods can also benefit from continuous integration techniques, however, then<br>whilst requirements and design phases are underway to establish the required tools and prac- |  |
| O Strongly Disagree   |   |  |
| Back Next   |   | 5.7  |
| Overall Progress: Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology   | Component Selector Final Dashboard Progress of this Section:  | 22 of 28   |
| Restart All   | All Rights Reserved for Seven Consulting<br>www.sevenconsulting.com   | User Guide   |

## 7th Way - Selected Approach



|   | St  | · · · ·                    | Defined Methodology     Delivery Model - determine most appropriate delivery model     Department of Human Services | Welcome John S<br>(agile, traditional, or hybric<br>roject Name: | <b>Seve</b>   | N Australia's Best<br>Program Delivery<br>Company |
|---|-----|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
|   |     | -                          | ility Score (do the project characteristics suit agile?):   | 80.8%  | The recommended delivery model for this project is Agile  | Hybrid<br>Seile                                   |
|   |     | -                          | ness Score (is the organisation ready to execute agile?):   | 73.5%  | Execution Bisk to Go Execution B  | 77.3%   |
|   |     | Question                   |   | Response   | Advice Agile Traditi  | onal  |
| : | 1.  | The require<br>to change   | ments for the project are not well known or are highly likely   | Disagree   | The agile methods supports the ability to capture and modify requirement<br>as feedback is gathered. The feedback validates the features necessary to<br>achieve the business outcomes. Not requiring this limits Agile's   |   |
| : | 2.  | There are n<br>have requir | nore requirements than can or should be delivered (nice-to-<br>ements)  | Strongly Disagree  | The less flexible scope will not fully utilise the strengths of the Agile approach.   |   |
| : | 3.  | The stakeh                 | olders are willing to work in an iterative way  | Disagree   | Agile methods generally support iterative processes with a dependency of stakeholder engagement for successful execution. If stakeholders are no willing to work in an iterative way the agile approach will be challenged. |   |
|   |     | Showing 1, 2 a             | and 3 out of 4 items  |  |   | Next Page   |
| 0 | ver | all Progress:              | Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology Compo   | onent Selector Final Dashboard                                   | Progress of this Section:   |   |
|   | Re  | start All                  |   | All Rights Reserved for S<br>www.sevenconsu                      |   | User Guide  |



Not every delivery decision is resolved by working out whether we should deliver via an agile, traditional or blended approach. There is a second series of questions to be considered:

- Do we need to do a parallel run?
- Do we need to test operability of the new system?
- Do we need to do performance, DR/BCP, or Security testing?
- Do we need to perform a dress rehearsal?
- Do we need to do a pilot?


# 7th Way - Agile / Traditional agnostic question examples.



|                                  | Component Selector<br>Complete this questionnaire to find out deliverables requ |               | elected approach of your project.               | SEVEN Australia's Best<br>Program Delivery<br>CONSULTING Company |   |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------|---|--|---|
| Organisation:                    | Department of Human Services  | Project Name: | Project 7                                       | Recommended Approach: Agile                                      | ] |
|                                  |   | Architectu    | re  |  |   |
| Is the new s<br>1.<br>an outage? | system critical to business operations within 24 hours o                        | of Ores ONO   | Are there adequate and appropria<br>6. concept? | ate resources to support a proof of   Yes O No                   |   |
| ls Disaster I<br>2. /changed s   | Recovery and Business Continuity Plan critical for new<br>ystems?               | ⊖Yes ⊗ No     | 7. Will the time line allow for runnin          | ng a proof of concept?   |   |
| 3. Is the proje                  | ect impacting a mission critical application environment                        | t? • Yes O No | 8. Is there sufficient budget to suppo          | ort running a proof of concept? O Yes   No                       |   |
| 4. Is there hig                  | h impact to operations?   | 🔿 Yes 🛛 🖲 No  |   |  |   |
| 5. Is a proof o                  | of concept an option?   | ● Yes ○ No    | )   |  |   |
|                                  |   |               |   | Back Next  |   |
| Overall Progress:                | Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology                             |               | Dashboard Progress of this Section:             | 2 of 10  |   |
| Restart All                      | Restart Component Selector  |               | ved for Seven Consulting<br>/enconsulting.com   | User Guide   | 9 |

## **7th Way Example Output**





## **7th Way Example Output**




|     | Component Lib<br>Lists suggested deliv  |                                  | and activ  | vition  |  |                              | Transfer To MSP TP  | he library pro | Way - Componer<br>ovides a description for each<br>Department of Health<br>Project 7 |   | slate links where ap   | cloable Agile OSSUTING Australia's Best<br>Program Delivery Company<br>Project Managura John Smith<br>Consultance   |       |
|-----|---|----------------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------------|---|----------------|--|---|------------------------|---|-------|
|     |   |                                  |  | nues  | · /  |                              |   | riojecu        | - Hoject /   |   |                        | ersenerni saj vaj veze  |       |
|     | by phase and stream   | n                                |  |   | and the second sec |                              |   |                | J Stream   | 💌 Project Compo                           | nents 💌                | Description 🔽   | Lin   |
|     | ·   |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 2 Analyse<br>3 Design<br>4 Build  | . Initiate     | 01. Agile  | Product Vision                            |                        | The product vision is the overarching goal you are aiming for, the reason for creating the product. It provides a continued purpose in an ever-<br>changing world, acts as the product's true north, provides motivation when the going gets tough, and facilitates effective collaboration   | N     |
|     |   |                                  | Agile  |   |  |                              | 5. Validate<br>6. Implement   |                | 04. Business   | High Level Business Re                    |                        | A sub-set of overall requirements management, high level statements of the goals, objectives, and outcomes that describe why a  | Unkt  |
|     | Way - Methodology Advice<br>ilable in areas where the program / project does not meet the requirements of the selected method   | Agi                              | la   |   |  | Australia<br>Program         | n 9. Execute  |                |  | Docs<br>Project Scope                     |                        | project/change has been initiated. They can apply to the whole of an enterprise, a business area, or a specific initiative.<br>Scope statements may take many forms depending on the type of project being implemented and the nature of the arganisation. The scope<br>tatement default the moint of diversibles and describes the major objectives. The objectives the diversite for default excess criteria        | Link  |
| ati | ion: Department of Human Services<br>Project 7  | ~ <u>s</u> ,                     |  |   | Project Manager:<br>Creation:  | John Smit<br>17/12/20        |   | Analyse        |  |   |                        | satement detaus the project deliverables and describes the major dojectives, the objectives should include measurable success criteria<br>for the project.  |       |
|     |   |                                  | 1.00   |   |  |                              | 03. Architecture<br>04. Business<br>05. Benefits                              |                | 01. Agile  | Agile Story Map                           |                        | A user story map arranges user stories into a useful model to help understand the functionality of the system, identify holes and omissions<br>In a backlog, and effectively plan holistic releases that deliver value to users and business with each release  | N     |
| Ŧ   | Question  | <ul> <li>Response</li> </ul>     |  |   | Advice   |                              | 06. Chance Ma<br>07. Technology<br>08. Infrastructure                         |                |  | Product Backlog                           |                        | In Aglie, the Product Backlog is simply a list of all things that needs to be done within the project. It replaces the traditional requirements<br>specification artefacts. These items can have a technical nature or can be user-centric e.g. in the form of user stories.  |       |
|     | The scope of the project is able to be changed once it has begun<br>The requirements for the project are not well known or are highly likely to change                              | Agree<br>Disagree                | The agile methods so<br>feedback is gathered                           | upports the<br>d. The feedb                   | ability to capture<br>ack validates the  | and modif                    | 09. Data Migrat   |                | 03. Architecture   | Architecture (Project)                    |                        | an overview of an entire system, identifying the main components that would be developed for the product and their interfaces. The HLD<br>uses possibly nontechnical to mildly technical terms that should be understandable to the administrators of the system. In contrast, low-   | Link: |
|     | There are more requirements than can or should be delivered (nice-to-have requirements)   | Strongly Disagree                | the business outcom<br>The less flexible sco                           | nes. Not requ                                 | uiring this limits /   | Agile's effe                 | Project Comp 差 😨  |                | 04. Business   | High Level Design<br>Business Requirement |                        | level design further exposes the logical detailed design of each of these elements for programmers.<br>A high level document/s defining the design of the solution. Normally undertaken in after high level requirements.   | N     |
|     | Project viability or outcomes are uncertain<br>The project is not critical to business operations.  | Strongly Agree<br>Agree          |  |   |  |                              | Agile Build A<br>Agile Implement  |                | od. Business   | business Requirement                      |                        | A Busines: Requirements Document (BRD) is a formal contract between the project and the customer for a product. The emphasis in a BRD<br>is on what is required, rather than on how to achieved. It contains the business requirements of business users such as customers,<br>employees and conductive As-is process in order to define a target To be process.  |       |
|     | Flexibility is required to adapt to changing market conditions<br>The effort required to complete the project cannot be easily estimated  | Agree<br>Strongly Agree          |  |   |  |                              | Agile Story Map   |                |  | Service Level Objective                   | ies /                  | emproyees and can describe ways process in order to define a target to de process.<br>A service level objective (SLO) is a key element of a service level agreement (SLA) between a service provider and a customer. SLOs are<br>arered as a measure of measure the endromance of the Service Provider and are outlined as a way of avoiding disputes between the two   | N     |
|     | Speed to market or speed to value are critical<br>The stakeholders are willing to work in an iterative way  | Strongly Agree<br>Disagree       | Agile methods gener  | rally support                                 | t iterative process  | ses with a                   | Architecture (Pr)<br>BCP Strateov<br>BCP Test Prepar                          |                | 05. Benefits   | Business Case                             |                        | parties based on misunderstanding. This document provides the Service level requirements and objectives for new solution.   | Link  |
|     | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••  |                                  | stakeholder engagen<br>to work in an iterativ<br>the other characteris | ment for suc<br>ve way the a<br>stics support | cessful execution<br>gile approach wil<br>t Agile, the statek  | n. If stakeh<br>II be challe | Benefits Foreca<br>Benefits Realis  |                | us. belents  | Business case                             |                        | also sometimes come in the form of a short verbal argument or presentation. The logic of the business case is thet, whenever resources<br>such as money or effort are consumed, they should be in support of a specific business need. A compelling business case (quality<br>captures both the quantifishe and non-quantifishe in characteristics of a proposed project. Business case depends on business at titude | LINK  |
|     | The tested deliverables can be implemented in short cycles  | Agree                            | needs to be fixed to I   | be effective                                  |  |                              |   |                | 09. Data Migration   | Data Migration Plan                       |                        | and business volume.<br>Determinention plan details the process of moving data from one location to another, one format to another, or one application to another.  | N     |
|     | Rapid, iterative delivery of functionality / features is more important than implementing a feature-<br>rich product  | Strongly Agree                   |  | Seventh V                                     | Nay - Compone  | nt Selecto                   | pr Contraction  | ~              | -0   | SEVEN                                     | Australia's Best       |   |       |
|     | The risk of breaking existing working system or business process functionality is low<br>A knowledgeable and empowered Product Owner (Member of agile team responsible for defining | Strongly Agree<br>Strongly Agree |  |   | esponses to the Compone  | err Selector Qu              |   | Ag             | ile  | CONSULTING                                | Program Delivery       | Company viopment a storyboard is a series of columns filled with colored<br>vr, a whiteboard or a bulletin board. Each column   |       |
| 1   | stories and prioritizing the team backlogs) exists and is assigned to the project<br>The Product Owner can devote sufficient time to the project                                    | Neutral                          |  | Organisation:<br>Project:                     | Department of Hea<br>Project 7   | lith                         |   | /              |  | Project Manager: Jo<br>Creation: 25       | shn Smith<br>5/02/2020 | e user atory changes.<br>nanaged project, elaborat  |       |
|     | It is easy to access the end users / customers  | Agree                            |  |   |  | -                            |   | -              |  |   |                        | w user store  |       |
|     | The team's experience aligns to projects with high rates of change, i.e. adaptable, independent   | Agree                            |  | 6   | roup A   | rea 💌                        |   |                | Quertion   | 1   | Response               | 3   |       |
|     | thinking<br>If Agile is adopted, the team and stakeholders have access to a qualified and experienced Agile   | Agree                            |  |   | G0010 Agile  |                              | 01. Is the solution highly complex?   |                |  |   | No                     |   |       |
|     | Coach or Scrum Master   | •                                |  |   | G0020 Architecture   |                              | 02. Do the requirements require pr<br>01. Is the new system critical to bu    |                |  | outage?                                   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | The team is able to co-locate   | Strongly Agree                   |  |   |  |                              | 02. Is Disaster Recovery and Busine   | ess Continu    | uity Plan critical for new /cha  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | Team size within the project is small to medium (<15 team members)<br>On this or similar programs in this organisation delivery teams are stable                                    | Neutral<br>Agree                 |  |   |  |                              | 03. Is the project impacting a missi<br>04. Is there high impact to operation |                | application environment?   |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | The delivery team can be empowered, is flexible and able to self-manage   | Strongly Agree                   |  |   |  |                              | 05. Is a proof of concept an option   | ×              |  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | The development tools necessary for continuous software integration are in place  | Neutral                          |  |   |  |                              | 05. Are there adequate and approp<br>07. Will the time line allow for run     |                |  | incept?                                   | No                     |   |       |
| 1   | Automated testing tools are in place  | Disagree                         | Consider schedulin<br>be missing                                       |   |  |                              | 08. Is there sufficient budget to su  | apport runni   | ing a proof of concept?  |   | No                     |   |       |
|     |   |                                  | Alternatively in   | 1   | G0030 Business   |                              | 01. Is there a need to formalise se<br>02. From an application perspectiv     |                |  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     |   |                                  | establ   |   | G0040 Change Mar   | nagement                     | 01. Are individual business roles i   | impacted by    | y the change?  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | The operational capability exists to support multiple rapid deployments<br>This project only requires changes to one application or platform?                                       | Strongly Agree                   |  |   |  |                              | 02. Does the project cause busines<br>03. Is end user training required?      | ere budewere o | charge?  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | How many Integration points does the project have?  | Sub                              |  |   |  |                              | 04. Is there material business imp  |                |  |   | No                     | Component Selector  |       |
|     | Project delivery is not impacted by other programs of work operating in the same space  |                                  |  |   | G0050 Infrastructu   | ire                          | 05. Are there changes to the future<br>01. Is physical infrastructure regula  |                | model?   |   | No                     |   |       |
|     | treams can work in an agile manner  |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 02. Are there material changes to a<br>03. Is the project building or updat   |                |  |   | No                     | Lists the responses to the  |       |
|     |   |                                  |  |   | G0060 Testing  |                              | 01. Are there performance requirer  |                | ructure r  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     |   |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 02. Are service levels linked to per  |                |  |   | No                     | Component questions for referen   | co    |
|     |   |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 03. Is non-functional performance<br>04. Is there more than one applica       |                | changed?   |   | Yes                    |   | ce    |
|     |   |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 05. Does the system have users?<br>07. Do any of the planned changes          |                |  |   | Yes                    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |       |
|     |   |                                  |  |   | G0070 Testing  |                              | 03. Is the project changing an exist  |                |  |   | Yes                    |   |       |
| -   | Methodology Advice  |                                  |  |   | GO080 Implementa   | ation                        | 04. Could a new or changed system<br>01. Is running a pilot an option?        | n pose a sec   | ourity threat?   |   | Yes                    |   |       |
|     | <b>•</b>  |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 02. Are there adequate and approp<br>03. Will the time line allow for run     | nning a pilo   | nt?  |   |                        |   |       |
|     | Lists the responses to the Methodo  | logy                             |  |   |  |                              | 04. Is there sufficient budget to su<br>05. Is there a material risk to custo | loport runni   | ing a pilot impleme  |   |                        |   |       |
|     | Lists the responses to the methode  |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 05. Is it possible to deploy the soli   | lution increa  | me   |   |                        |   |       |
|     | lestions and displays advice as appro   |                                  |  |   |  |                              | 07. Will the time line allow for run  |                |  |   |                        |   |       |



- 1. Recommended delivery approach for your program.
- 2. The risks with that approach and suggested mitigants.
- 3. Deliverables by phase and workstream
- 4. Automatically created schedule including dependencies.

### **Tool implementation steps and median durations**







- Using a consistent approach to have your project delivery customised and optimised based on its and your organisation's characteristics within your portfolio.
- 2. Increased ability to define delivery approach far more quickly.
- 3. Reduced level of project management oversights or omissions.
- 4. Leading to better project outcomes.
- 5. This can be further extended to look at how each project should fit into your portfolio governance and assurance structure.



| <u>Number of users</u> | <u>Monthly cost</u> | <u>Estimated cost per user</u><br>per month | <u>Annual Coaching</u><br><u>Pack</u> | <u>Email support</u> | <u>Access to monthly</u><br><u>Master Classes</u> |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| 1-5                    | \$ 2,500            | \$ 833                                      | 10 hours                              | У                    | У   |
| 6-20                   | \$ 5,000            | \$ 385                                      | 20 hours                              | У                    | У   |
| 21-50                  | \$ 10,000           | \$ 281                                      | 30 hours                              | У                    | У   |
| 51-200                 | \$ 20,000           | \$ 159                                      | 50 hours                              | У                    | У   |
| 201+                   | \$ 30,000           | \$ 100                                      | 100 hours                             | У                    | У   |

## Seven Consulting is a proud sponsor of Australia's National Football side, the Westfield Matildas



Kellond-Knight

We are extremely proud to be official sponsors of Australia's best team. The Westfield Matildas are now ranked 7<sup>th</sup> in the world and were finalists in the Asian Cup.

We are also providing paid internships for a number of the team **(Tameka Yallop, and Elise Kellond-Knight,)** to support their post sporting careers.



rameka

vallop



#### Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

#### **Award Winning Company**

WOOLWORTHS GROUP

Woolworths Group IT Exceptional Services Award Winner 2018



Seven Consulting Pty Ltd ABN 44 100 234 179

SYDNEY Level 13, 179 Elizabeth Street, Sydney, New South Wales 2000 +61 (0)2 8267 5000

MELBOURNE Level 5, 520 Collins Street Melbourne, Victoria 3000 +61 (0)3 9617 8200

MANILA 7th Floor, 6780 Jaka Building, Ayala Ave, Makati City, Philippines

www.sevenconsulting.com