

Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

Welcome to Seventh Way Tool

A unique tool to assist you with the right project management approach.

Official Partner of the

MATH DAS

All Rights Reserved for Seven Consulting www.sevenconsulting.com

Seven Consulting - Services



1. Program Delivery



We deliver some of Australia's most complex and challenging agile, traditional and hybrid programs. We work with our clients to understand their organisational and program characteristics. These inform how we design our delivery approach to produce the best outcome for our clients. The majority of our Consultants are scaled agile (SAFe) certified and we manage Bn's of dollars of transformation program that range in size from <\$1m to >\$500m.

2. PMO Services



We provide PMO establishment and management, PMO analyst and scheduling services, and tools for some of the largest organisations in Australia.

3. Delivery Consulting



We provide delivery capability uplift, sponsor and project manager training, portfolio and program reviews to assist our clients improve their program delivery.

All of our clients are reference sites

Our Clients



Seven Consulting has a proven track record delivering critical outcomes for Australian organisations across industries and domains. 100% of our clients are reference sites.



Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

Our clients and team are our top priority



Client Satisfaction Survey Results

| Year | Satisfaction rating | Survey questions |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| (Jan – June) 2021 | 99.45% | 7,432 |
| 2020 | 98.87% | 14,455 |
| 2019 | 99.08% | 14,949 |
| 2018 | 98.65% | 15,450 |
| 2017 | 99.08% | 9,691 |
| 2016 | 98.94% | 10,336 |
| 2015 | 99.35% | 5,655 |
| | | |

100% of our clients are reference sites

MABC

"It is clear that you only recruit PM's that are the best in the business"



"Seven have gone above and beyond to make this implementation a success. With short notice their consultants jumped in and made a significant impact."

Woolworths 🍈

"No fuss, just good, honest and consistent project delivery"



"Very professional" "Great consulting skills"

🕻 alintaenergy

"Highly professional staff, great support from the broader organisation. Clear about what they are good at and stick to this"

Team Satisfaction Survey Results

| Survey Date | Satisfaction rating |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Jun'21 | 98.40% |
| Dec'20 | 97.90% |
| Jun'20 | 98.51% |
| Dec'19 | 98.30% |
| Jun '19 | 98.82% |
| Dec '18 | 96.44% |



How do we get 98%+ client satisfaction?



Why all our clients are reference sites.



Hands-on ownership



High employee engagement, low turnover with <u>no</u> contractors



At a minimum, there is a monthly Quality Assurance review of the consultant's work.



Bench support available to all consultants at no cost to the client



Extensive mentoring and training support strong IP and knowledge sharing including internal project training, majority of our team are SAFe certified.



Holiday and sick leave cover for assignments



Strong in-house tooling to support consultants, clients and quality assurance

How our values impact our delivery?





Teamwork

Teamwork has to be at the core because you can't deliver big projects without great teamwork – we focus on ensuring that the Seven team, the client team and vendors work seamlessly together.



Transparency

Assumptions and poor communications kill projects, whereas openness is the foundation of good relationships and reliable delivery. We remain a completely independent consultancy.



Delivery

A strong emphasis on outcomes focuses the team and grows confidence. With a confident attitude, expert personnel and effective teams we always deliver to our client's high expectations.

Our Agile journey



- In 2002, Seven Consulting undertook 0 Agile projects.
- By 2010, that number had increased to 10% to 20% of our portfolio.
- Now over 40% of our projects are Agile-based.
- This aligns with the market growth of Agile adoption in Australia IT projects.
- Through these engagements, Seven Consulting has found strengths in both methods.
- We manage about \$1b of Agile program annually and have delivered some of the largest and most successful Agile programs in Australia.
- Over 2/3 of our team is scaled agile (SAFe) qualified.
- We have two qualified SAFe trainers internally.
- However we still believe that not all programs should be delivered using Agile methodology.
- This tool helps ascertain quickly the correct delivery approach on the spectrum of Traditional to Agile.
- Use the best tool for the job!



- Over the last three years, we have developed a tool that, helps us within 30 minutes to create the following for any project:
 - Agree the optimized project delivery approach, Agile, Traditional (waterfall) or Blended.
 - Where the recommended approach is a blended approach, detail the customised blend.
 - Understand the residual risks and mitigations for that approach.
 - Specify the deliverables required for delivery many of which are agnostic to the Agile or Traditional approach.
 - Detail the deliverables by phase and stream.
 - Provide templates for each of the deliverables.
 - Produce a detailed schedule including mapped dependencies.



There is no single best way to deliver a program.

From our experience of delivering billions of dollars of programs each year for some of Australia's largest organisations, we believe that different approaches are required to deliver different projects.

- This is for two sets of reasons, firstly the projects themselves are all different. Compliance and innovation projects have different characteristics.
- Secondly, organisations (technically, capability-wise and culturally) are also different.

We believe that these two sets of characteristics that influence how the programs should be delivered.

What does work?



- Assessing the organizational and project characteristics to work out the best way to deliver is the work of skilled and experienced Program Managers.
- While we don't believe in one approach, we do have a bias towards agile where sensible.
- We have spent two years codifying our collective 1000's of years of experience to allow this analysis to be done within 30 minutes.
- In addition, this Seventh Way Tool will provide an overview of the delivery streams, phases and dependencies.
- Finally, the tool links the deliverables to templates and supporting documents, as well as creating a starting schedule based on the delivery approach.
- This tool is of best value for each of the following to assess or build delivery approach per project.
 - Portfolio Managers
 - ePMO or ITPMO Managers
 - Risk and Assurance Stakeholders and Program Reviewers
 - Project Managers stepping into larger or different roles
- We use this tool across our whole technology change portfolio with over 25 client organisations.




Example Agile v Traditional Project and Organisational Characteristics

COPYRIGHT - SEVEN CONSULTING 2021 - ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

TEAMWORK • TRANSPARENCY • DELIVERY







| | nodology Selector lete this questionnaire to find the methodology Department of Human Services | which best suits your project. | Project 7 | | ONSULTING Australia's Best Program Delivery Company | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Organisation: | Department of numan services | Project Name: | Project / | | | | | |
| 8 Speed to market o | or speed to value are critical | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ○ Agree | | Category: Project Benefits | 5 | | | | | |
| | | Guidance: | | | | | | |
| O Neutral | | to market may be critical | ited to development of new products or s to the success of the initiative. Direct colla in greater speed and alignment of delivere | aboration with customers and e | end users combined | | | |
| O Disagree | | increments. | | | | | | |
| 0 21208/00 | | required before the solut | v be better suited where timelines are not a ion is able to be used. | as critical and where a significal | it volume of reacures is | | | |
| O Strongly Disagree | | | | | | | | |
| | Back Next | | | | | | | |
| Overall Progress: Ent | try Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology | / Component Selector Final Dashboar | rd Progress of this Section: | | 8 of 28 | | | |
| Restart All | | All Rights Reserved fo | | | User Guide | | | |
| | | www.sevencor | www.sevenconsulting.com | | | | | |

Ability to deliver in short cycles.





Agile

- Can deliver partial business benefits quicker.
- Get feedback from customers quickly.
- Refine and improve outputs for later cycles.
- How a child learns to walk.

Traditional

 Best suited to majority of functionality being released in one go; e.g. a payroll program, GST introduction, currency change.

Ability to deliver in short cycles.



| Seventh Way - Me Step 2: Con | | EN Australia's Best Program Deliverg Company | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------|
| Organisation: | Department of Human Services | Project Name: | Project 7 | | |
| 10 The tested deli | verables can be implemented in short cycles | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | | | | | |
| Agree | | Category: Delivery Approac | h | | |
| O Neutral | | delivery cycles and can resu | | les. This enables early feedback to influence s approach is the ability to dissect the total s d and enhanced over time. | |
| O Disagree | | Traditional methods are be This means that small sets to enable business value is | tter suited to solutions where there are a | a large number of features needed to satisfy ently and the critical mass of functionality re | |
| ○ Strongly Disagre | e | | nae impact the initial implementation. | | |
| | Back Next | | | | |
| Overall Progress: | Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology | | Progress of this Section: | | 10 of 28 |
| Restart All | | All Rights Reserved for <u>www.sevencons</u> | | | User Guide |



Agile

- A product owner is essential to work with the team and be available to provide quick decisions.
- On large programs, you may need multiple product owners covering different areas with one overall decision maker.
- On small projects, a part time product owner that is available daily would likely suffice.

Traditional

- Reviews can be done in larger blocks although the time this takes clearly creates time delays versus agile.
- Can suffer from management by committee.
- There may be some advantages in seeing the end-to-end requirements, design etc.



| Seventh Way - M Step 2: Co | SEVEN Australia's Best Program Delivery CONSULTING Company | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Organisation: | Department of Human Services | Project Name: | Project 7 | |
| - | able and empowered Product Owner (Member of | f agile team responsible for defini | ng stories and prioritizing the team ba | ocklogs) exists and is assigned to the project |
| Strongly Agree | | | | |
| ⊖ Agree | | Category: Flow of Knowled | lge | |
| O Neutral | | | nowledgeable in the project vision and pro | oduct Owner be assigned to the project. The oduct domain and be empowered to take decisions |
| O Disagree | | Traditional methods gene | rally use group forums for deliverable revie | ew and approval and steering committees for demands of agile for rapid decision making and |
| Strongly Disagr | ee | | | |
| | Back Next | | | |
| Overall Progress: | Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodolog | gy Component Selector Final Dashboar | d Progress of this Section: | 13 of 28 |
| Restart All | | All Rights Reserved for <u>www.sevencon</u> | | User Guide |



Agile

- Hard to move to continuous development, testing and release if the supporting elements are not in place for this.
- Many companies need time to put these in place before they can run true agile programs.
- The need for proper environment and tooling is especially true at scale and for non digital and highly integrated systems.

Traditional

 Simpler for traditional projects as they have more time in parallel with requirements, design and build, to put these items in place where they don't exist (to the extent they need them and they will need less.)



| Seventh Way - Methodology Selector Step 2: Complete this questionnaire to find the methodology w | Welcome John Smith | | SEVEN CONSULTING |
|---|--|--|---------------------|
| Organisation: Department of Human Services | Project Name: Project 7 | | |
| 22 The development tools necessary for continuous software integra | ation are in place | | |
| O Strongly Agree | | | |
| O Agree | Category: Presence of Enablers | | |
| Neutral | Guidance: Aglie methods utilise short duration sprints to deliver Productivity is paramount and is best enabled using a tools and development practices which must be fully | continuous integration approach. This a | |
| O Disagree | Traditional methods can also benefit from continuous whilst requirements and design phases are underway | integration techniques, however, there i | |
| ○ Strongly Disagree | | | |
| Back Next | | | 20 |
| Overall Progress: Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology | | Section: | 22 of 28 |
| Restart All | All Rights Reserved for Seven Consulting www.sevenconsulting.com | | User Guide |

7th Way - Selected Approach



| | St | nth Way - Defined Methodology ep 2 Result: Delivery Model - determine most appropriate delivery model nisation: Department of Human Services P | Welcome John S l (agile, traditional, or hybrid Project Name: | SEVE 2 | N Australia's Best Program Delivery IG Company |
|---|------|--|---|---|--|
| | | Agile Suitability Score (do the project characteristics suit agile?): Agile Readiness Score (is the organisation ready to execute agile?): | 80.8% 73.5% | The recommended delivery model for this project is Agile | Hybrid 78% 77.3% |
| | | Question | Response | Advice Execution Risk to Go Agile Tradit | |
| 1 | L. | The requirements for the project are not well known or are highly likely to change | Disagree | The agile methods supports the ability to capture and modify requirement as feedback is gathered. The feedback validates the features necessary to problem the business outcomes. Not requiring this limits Agile's | |
| 2 | 2. | There are more requirements than can or should be delivered (nice-to- have requirements) | Strongly Disagree | The less flexible scope will not fully utilise the strengths of the Agile approach. | _ |
| З | 3. | The stakeholders are willing to work in an iterative way | Disagree | Agile methods generally support iterative processes with a dependency of stakeholder engagement for successful execution. If stakeholders are no willing to work in an iterative way the agile approach will be challenged. | |
| | | Showing 1, 2 and 3 out of 4 items | | | Next Page |
| 0 | vera | all Progress: Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology Comp | onent Selector Final Dashboard | Progress of this Section: | |
| | Res | start All | All Rights Reserved for So www.sevenconsu | | User Guide |



Not every delivery decision is resolved by working out whether we should deliver via an agile, traditional or blended approach. There is a second series of questions to be considered:

- Do we need to do a parallel run?
- Do we need to test operability of the new system?
- Do we need to do performance, DR/BCP, or Security testing?
- Do we need to perform a dress rehearsal?
- Do we need to do a pilot?


7th Way - Agile / Traditional agnostic question examples.



| Seventh Way - Component Selector Step 3: Complete this questionnaire to find out deliverables requi | | me John Smith lected approach of your project. | | Trogram Denvery |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| Organisation: Department of Human Services | Project Name: | Project 7 | Recommended Approach: | Agile |
| | Architectur | 2 | | |
| Is the new system critical to business operations within 24 hours of 1. an outage? | ● Yes ○ No | 6. Are there adequate and appropriate concept? | e resources to support a proof of \circledast | Yes O No |
| Is Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity Plan critical for new 2. /changed systems? | ○ Yes ⑧ No | 7. Will the time line allow for running a | a proof of concept? | Yes 🔿 No |
| 3. Is the project impacting a mission critical application environment | ? • Yes O No | 8. Is there sufficient budget to support | running a proof of concept? | Yes 💿 No |
| 4. Is there high impact to operations? | O Yes 💿 No | | | |
| 5. Is a proof of concept an option? | ● Yes ○ No | | | |
| | | | Back Next |] |
| Overall Progress: Entry Form Methodology Selector Defined Methodology | omponent Selector Final Da | shboard Progress of this Section: | | 2 of 10 |
| Restart All Restart Component Selector | | ed for Seven Consulting enconsulting.com | | User Guide |

7th Way Example Output





7th Way Example Output




| | Component Lib | rary | | | | N . | Transfer To MSP The R | | ent Library h component, as well as template links vi | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|------|
| | Lists suggested deliv | verables | and activ | vities | | <u>></u> | Org Pro | anisation: Department of Health jects Project 7 | | Project Managers John Smith Creation: 25/02/2020 | |
| | | | und detri | vicies | | | | | | | |
| | by phase and stream | n | | | - | | Phase 🚝 🙀 Pha | se 🤳 Stream | Project Components | Description | Lin |
| | L | | | | | | 1. Initiate | tiate | | | |
| | | | Agile | | | | 3. Design 4. Build 5. Validate | 01. Agile | Product Vision | The product vision is the overarching goal you are alming for, the reason for creasing the product. It provides a continued purpose in an ever- changing world, acts as the product's true north, provides motivation when the going gets tough, and facilitates effective collaboration | N |
| | | - | - | | 6 Carlos | | 6. Implement | 04. Business | High Level Business Requirement | | Unkt |
| | h Way - Methodology Advice | | | _ / / | | N Australia NG Program | 8 Blen V | | Docs Project Scope | project/change has been initiated. They can apply to the whole of an enterprise, a business area, or a specific initiative. Scope statements may take many forms depending on the type of project being implemented and the nature of the organisation. The scope | Link |
| | tion: Department of Human Services | Ag | le | | Project Manag | | | | | statement details the project deliverables and describes the major objectives. The objectives should include measurable success criteria for the project. | |
| | Project 7 | | | | Creation: | 17/12/20 | | alyse | | for the project. | |
| | | | | | | | 03. Architecture 04. Business | 01. Agile | Agile Story Map | A user story map arranges user stories into a useful model to help understand the functionality of the system, identify holes and omissions | |
| | | | | | | | 05. Benefits 06. Change Ma | | Product Backlog | in a backlog, and effectively plan holistic releases that deliver value to users and business with each release In Aglie, the Product Backlog is simply a list of all things that needs to be done within the project. It replaces the traditional requirements | |
| Ŧ | | Response | | | Advice | | 07. Technology 08. Infrestructure | | - | specification artefacts. These items can have a technical nature or can be user-centric e.g. in the form of user stories. | |
| | The scope of the project is able to be changed once it has begun The requirements for the project are not well known or are highly likely to change | Agree Disagree | The agile methods s | upports the s | ability to cant | ure and modif | 09. Data Migrat | 03. Architecture | Architecture (Project) | High-level design (HLD) explains the architecture that would be used for developing a software product. The architecture diagram provides an overview of an entire system, identifying the main components that would be developed for the product and their interfaces. The HLD | Link |
| | The requirements for the project are not well known of are nightly likely to thange | Pipagice | feedback is gathered | d. The feedba | ack validates | the features n | 11. Implement | | | uses possibly nontechnicel to mildly technical terms that should be understandable to the administrators of the system. In contrast, low- | |
| | | | the business outcom | nes. Not requ | iring this limi | its Agile's effe | | | High Level Design | level design further exposes the logical detailed design of each of these elements for programmers. A high level document/s defining the design of the solution. Normally undertaken in after high level requirements. | , |
| | There are more requirements than can or should be delivered (nice-to-have requirements) Project viability or outcomes are uncertain | Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree | The less flexible sco | ope will not f | ully utilise th | e strengths of | (Agile Build) | 04. Business | Business Requirements Docume | t A Business Requirements Document (BRD) is a formal contract between the project and the customer for a product. The emphasis in a BRD | |
| | The project is not critical to business operations. | Agree | | | | | Asile Implement Asile Story Board | | | is on what is required, rather than on how to achieved. It contains the business requirements of business users such as customers, employees and can describe As-is process in order to define a tareet To-be process. | |
| | Flexibility is required to adapt to changing market conditions The effort required to complete the project cannot be easily estimated | Agree | | | | | Agile Story Map | | Service Level Objectives | A service level objective (SLO) is a key element of a service level agreement (SLA) between a service provider and a customer. SLOs are | |
| | The effort required to complete the project cannot be easily estimated Speed to market or speed to value are critical | Strongly Agree Strongly Agree | | | | | Architecture (Pr BCP Strategy | | | agreed as a means of measuring the performance of the Service Provider and are outlined as a way of avoiding disputes between the two parties based on misunderstanding. This document provides the Service level requirements and objectives for new solution. | |
| | The stakeholders are willing to work in an iterative way | Disagree | Agile methods gener | | | | | 05. Benefits | Business Case | | Link |
| | | | stakeholder engager to work in an iterativ | | | | Benefits Realis | | | also sometimes come in the form of a short verbal argument or presentation. The logic of the business case is that, whenever resources such as money or effort are consumed, they should be in support of a specific business need. A compelling business case adequately | |
| | | | the other characteris | | | | | | | such as money or effort are consumed, they should be in support or a specific business need. A competing business case deepade eity captures both the quantifiable and non-quantifiable characteristics of a proposed project. Business case depends on business attitude | |
| | | | needs to be fixed to | be effective. | | | | | Base Mineral an Bire | and business volume. Data microgion plan details the process of moving data from one location to another, one format to another, or one application to another. | |
| | The tested deliverables can be implemented in short cycles Rapid, iterative delivery of functionality / features is more important than implementing a feature- | Agree Strongly Agree | | | | | | | and a second | the previous previous the process or moving gata from one location to another, one format to another, or one approach to another. | |
| | rich product | | | Seventh W | Vay - Compo | nent Selecto | n l | | CONSULTING Program De | Sent Vopment a storyboard is a series of columns filled with colored | |
| | The risk of breaking existing working system or business process functionality is low A knowledgeable and empowered Product Owner (Member of agile team responsible for defining | Strongly Agree Strongly Agree | | Selow are the re- | repondes to the Corr | sponeer: Selector Que | etions | Agile | | livery Company r, a whiteboard or a bulletin board. Each column | |
| | stories and prioritizing the team backlogs) exists and is assigned to the project | Strongly Agree | | Organisation: Project: | Department of Project 7 | Health | | | Project Manager: John Smith Creation: 25/02/2020 | vuer story changes. Nanaged project, elaboration | |
| | The Product Owner can devote sufficient time to the project | Neutral | | | 1. States and | | | | | w user store | |
| | It is easy to access the end users / customers The team's experience aligns to projects with high rates of change, i.e. adaptable, independent | Agree Agree | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | thinking | | | | Charlest 1 Balance and | Area 🖃 | | Question | Resp. | onse <mark>51</mark> | |
| | If Agile is adopted, the team and stakeholders have access to a qualified and experienced Agile Coach or Scrum Master | Agree | | | 30010 Agile | | 01. Is the solution highly complex? 02. Do the requirements require prior | itisation for delivery? | Ye | | |
| | The team is able to co-locate | Strongly Agree | | G | 30020 Architer | cture | 01. Is the new system critical to busin 02. Is Disaster Recovery and Business | ess operations within 24 hours of | an outage? Ye langed systems? Ye | | |
| | Team size within the project is small to medium (<15 team members) | Neutral | | | | | 03. Is the project impacting a mission | critical application environment? | Ye Ye | | |
| | On this or similar programs in this organisation delivery teams are stable The delivery team can be empowered, is flexible and able to self-manage | Agree Strongly Agree | | | | | 04. Is there high impact to operations 05. Is a most of concept an option? | , | Ni | | |
| | The development tools necessary for continuous software integration are in place | Neutral | | | | | 05. Are there adequate and appropria | | concept? No | | |
| | Automated testing tools are in place | Disagree | Consider schedulin | | | | 07. Will the time line allow for runnin 08. Is there sufficient budget to suppo | | Ye | | |
| | | | be missing. Alternatively | G | 30030 Busines | | 01. Is there a need to formalise servic 02. From an application perspective, is | | | | |
| | | | estab | G | 30040 Change | Management | 01. Are individual business roles impo | acted by the change? | Ye | | |
| | The operational capability exists to support multiple rapid deployments This project only requires changes to one application or platform? | Strongly Agree | | | | | 02. Does the project cause business p 03. Is end user training required? | racess charge? | Ye | | |
| | How many Integration points does the project have? | Sabi | | | | | 04. Is there material business impact | | Ne | Component Selector | |
| | Project delivery is not impacted by other programs of work operating in the same space | | | G | 30050 Infrastr | acture | 03. Are there changes to the future op 01. Is physical infrastructure required? | | Ye | | |
| | theorems can work in an agile manner | | | | | | 02. Are there material changes to data 03. Is the project building or updating | | No | Lists the responses to the | |
| | | | | G | 30060 Testing | | 01. Are there performance requirement | 157 | Ye | | |
| | | | | | | | 02. Are service levels linked to penalth 03. Is non functional performance critic | cal ^y | No. Ve | Component questions for reference | CE |
| | | | | | | | 04. Is there more than one application 05. Does the system have users? | n being changed? | Ye | | |
| | | | | | | | 07. Do any of the planned changes ha | | Ye | | |
| | and the second se | | | G | 30070 Testing | | 03. Is the project changing an existing 04. Could a new or changed system po | | No | | |
| | Methodology Advice | | - | G | 30080 Implem | entation | 01. Is running a pilot an option? | an an air tha an an an an | Te | | |
| | | | | | | | Are there adequate and appropriat Will the time line allow for runnin | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 04. Is there sufficient budget to suppo | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Lists the responses to the Methodo | ology | | | | | 05. Is there a material risk to custome 06. Is it possible to deploy the solution | ers in a big bang an | | | |
| • | | 0, | | | | | 05. Is there a material risk to custome 06. Is it possible to deploy the solutio 07. Will the time line allow for runnin 08. Is there sufficient budget to | in Increme | | | |



- 1. Recommended delivery approach for your program.
- 2. The risks with that approach and suggested mitigants.
- 3. Deliverables by phase and workstream
- 4. Automatically created schedule including dependencies.

Tool implementation steps and median durations







- Using a consistent approach to have your project delivery customised and optimised based on its and your organisation's characteristics within your portfolio.
- 2. Increased ability to define delivery approach far more quickly.
- 3. Reduced level of project management oversights or omissions.
- 4. Leading to better project outcomes.
- 5. This can be further extended to look at how each project should fit into your portfolio governance and assurance structure.



| <u>Number of users</u> | <u>Monthly cost</u> | <u>Estimated cost per user</u> <u>per_month</u> | <u>Annual Coaching</u> <u>Pack</u> | <u>Email support</u> | <u>Access to monthly</u> <u>Master Classes</u> | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 1-5 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 1666 | 10 hours | У | У | |
| 6-20 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 769 | 20 hours | У | У | |
| 21-50 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 428 | 30 hours | У | У | |
| 51-200 | \$ 30,000 | \$ 238 | 50 hours | У | У | |
| 201+ | \$ 50,000 | \$ 166 | 100 hours | У | У | |

PR@JECT 7

2011

Our projects so far:

2007 Villawood Detention Centre (Sydney)

2008 South Australian Detention Centre (Sydney)

Seven Consulting regularly gives back to the wider community, supporting our team and their families in voluntary projects to assist those who find themselves in need of help.

2012 Cambodian School Build (Sydney) Barnardos Kingston House (Sydney)





2018

- Erin's Place (Sydney)
- Concordia Childrens Services (Manila)
- Mad Women Foundation (Melb)



2013 Youth off the Streets (Sydney)



2015

- Jesuit Refugee House Blaiket (Syd)
- Hanover Crisis Centre (Melb)



2019

- Avalon Centre (Melbourne)
- Dignity.org.au (Sydney)
- Bahay San Jose House with No Steps Foundation (Manila)







2017

- Launch Housing (Melbourne)
- Cerebral Palsy Foundation (MNL)



2016 Marian Villa (Sydney)





2020 – DONATION DRIVE

In 2020, Seven Consulting continued to acknowledge the importance of fostering a community presence. Despite the restrictions brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Project 7 initiative was able to provide help to those community causes that needed it most. Although physical volunteering was no longer an option due to health concerns, Project 7 gave back to the community, by donating \$104,000 across 29 charities, enabling these organisations to create real change in the lives of those who need it most. In 2021, Project 7 will once again be providing the team at Seven the opportunity to volunteer their own time to the community.



Seven Consulting is a proud sponsor of Australia's National Football side, the Commonwealth Bank Matildas

We are extremely proud to be official sponsors of Australia's best team. The Commonwealth Bank Matildas are now ranked 11th in the world and were finalists in the Asian Cup.

We are also providing paid internships for a number of the team (*Tameka Yallop, and Elise Kellond-Knight,*) to support their post sporting careers.

CONGRATULATIONS

Seven Consulting looks forward to the Commonwealth Bank Matildas welcoming the football world to Australia.

Football Australia, together with New Zealand Football successfully brought home the bid for Australia and New Zealand to host the FIFA Women's World Cup in 2023.

> We cannot wait to watch the Commonwealth Bank Matildas get to play against the best teams in the world Down Under.

Tameka Yallop

Elise Kellond-Knight

SEVEN

CONSULTING

Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

is a proud sponsor of the



and an advantage of the second se



Australia's Best Program Delivery Company

Award Winning Company

WOOLWORTHS GROUP

Woolworths Group IT Exceptional Services Award Winner 2018



Seven Consulting Pty Ltd ABN 44 100 234 179

SYDNEY Level 13, 179 Elizabeth Street, Sydney, New South Wales 2000 +61 (0)2 8267 5000

MELBOURNE Level 5, 520 Collins Street Melbourne, Victoria 3000 +61 (0)3 9617 8200

MANILA 7th Floor, 6780 Jaka Building, Ayala Ave, Makati City, Philippines

www.sevenconsulting.com